International Journal in Physical and Applied Sciences
Volume 06 Issue 06, June 2019 ISSN: 2394-5710 Impact Factor: 4.657
Journal Homepage: http://ijmr.net.in, Email: irjmss@gmail.com
Double-Blind Peer Reviewed Refereed Open Access International Journal

Effect of microplastics on marine food chain

Dr. Neeru, Assistant Professor

Department of Zoology

Smt Aruna Asaf Ali Government P. G. College, Kalka

Abstract

Microplastics—plastic fragments smaller than 5 mm—have emerged as a critical environmental contaminant affecting the stability and productivity of marine food webs. This study reviews and synthesizes existing research up to 2017 to assess the sources, pathways, and ecological impacts of microplastics across marine trophic levels. The findings reveal that microplastics originate primarily from land-based activities, including industrial discharge, wastewater effluents, and the degradation of larger plastics, before being transported by rivers and ocean currents into marine ecosystems. Once introduced, these particles are readily ingested by plankton, bivalves, fish, and higher predators, leading to physiological stress, feeding inhibition, and reproductive impairment. Moreover, microplastics act as vectors for toxic substances such as persistent organic pollutants (POPs) and heavy metals, facilitating their transfer through the food web and potentially reaching humans via seafood consumption. Quantitative data indicate concentrations ranging from 0.1–10 particles/m³ in open waters to over 1,000 particles/m³ in coastal zones. The study emphasizes the global and multi-trophic nature of microplastic pollution and its implications for marine biodiversity, ecosystem services, and food safety. Effective mitigation requires coordinated international policies, enhanced waste management, and the promotion of biodegradable materials to safeguard ocean health and human well-being.

Keywords: Microplastics, Marine food chain, Bioaccumulation, Ocean pollution, Ecosystem impact.

Introduction

In recent decades, the proliferation of microplastics in the marine environment has emerged as a significant ecological concern, altering the fundamental dynamics of oceanic food webs. Microplastics—plastic particles smaller than 5 millimeters—originate from a variety of sources including the degradation of larger plastic debris, synthetic fibers from textiles, industrial abrasives, and microbeads from personal care products. These particles are pervasive across marine systems, from coastal waters to deep-sea sediments, and have been documented in virtually all trophic levels of marine life. Due to their small size and buoyancy, microplastics are readily ingested by plankton, bivalves, crustaceans, and fish, thereby becoming bioavailable to higher trophic organisms, including humans. Once ingested, they can cause physical blockages, reduce feeding efficiency, and induce physiological stress. Moreover, microplastics act as vectors for toxic chemical pollutants—such as persistent organic pollutants (POPs), heavy metals, and additives like bisphenol-A (BPA)—which adsorb onto their surfaces in the marine environment. The ingestion of such contaminated particles facilitates the transfer of harmful substances through the food web, threatening both biodiversity and ecosystem stability.

The effect of microplastics on the marine food chain extends beyond direct ingestion and toxicity; it disrupts ecological balance, energy flow, and nutrient cycling. Primary producers such as phytoplankton may experience reduced photosynthetic activity due to shading effects and chemical leaching, while zooplankton feeding on microplastics experience lowered energy intake and reproduction rates, weakening the foundational levels of marine productivity. As microplastics bioaccumulate and biomagnify, their presence in commercially significant fish and shellfish raises public health concerns, linking marine pollution directly to human dietary exposure. Studies have revealed that microplastic concentrations are particularly high in regions of oceanic gyres, estuaries, and coastal fisheries—areas critical for global seafood supply. The ecological ramifications include reduced species diversity, altered predator-prey relationships, and compromised ecosystem services such as nutrient recycling and carbon sequestration. Consequently, the issue of microplastics is not merely a marine pollution problem but an environmental crisis with cascading effects across biological, economic, and social dimensions. Addressing it requires global collaboration, stricter waste management policies, and the development of biodegradable materials to safeguard the integrity of marine ecosystems and ensure sustainable oceanic food security.

Definition and Classification of Microplastics

Microplastics are defined as synthetic polymer particles measuring less than 5 millimeters in diameter, originating either from the direct production of small-sized plastics or from the fragmentation of larger plastic materials. These particles are composed of various polymer types such as polyethylene (PE), polypropylene (PP), polystyrene (PS), polyethylene terephthalate (PET), and polyvinyl chloride (PVC), each exhibiting different densities, chemical properties, and environmental behaviors. The defining characteristics of microplastics include their size, persistence, resistance to biodegradation, and ability to absorb and release chemical substances. Due to their small dimensions, microplastics are easily transported by wind and water currents, leading to their widespread presence in marine, freshwater, and terrestrial ecosystems. The resilience of these polymers in natural environments means that once introduced, they can persist for decades or even centuries, continuously interacting with aquatic organisms and ecosystems.

Microplastics are generally classified into two main categories: primary and secondary microplastics. Primary microplastics are intentionally manufactured at microscopic scales for specific industrial or commercial applications. These include microbeads found in personal care products (such as exfoliating cleansers and toothpaste), micro-pellets used in industrial manufacturing as pre-production resin pellets, and synthetic microfibers released from textiles during washing. In contrast, secondary microplastics are generated through the breakdown and weathering of larger plastic items due to environmental factors such as ultraviolet (UV) radiation, mechanical abrasion, and chemical degradation. Common sources include plastic bags, bottles, fishing nets, and packaging materials that fragment into smaller pieces over time. Additional classification systems categorize microplastics based on shape (e.g., fragments, fibers, films, beads, foams), color (transparent, white, blue, black, etc.), and polymer composition, which influence their buoyancy and interaction with marine organisms. Understanding these classifications is crucial for assessing the ecological risks of microplastic pollution, tracing their sources, and developing effective mitigation strategies. By distinguishing between primary and secondary microplastics, researchers and policymakers can better target interventions at the production, consumption, and waste-management stages to curb their environmental impact.

Sources and Pathways of Microplastic Pollution in Marine Environments

Microplastic pollution in marine environments originates from a multitude of sources that can be broadly categorized as land-based and sea-based inputs. Land-based sources are considered the dominant contributors, accounting for nearly 80% of total marine plastic pollution. These include urban runoff, industrial effluents, sewage discharges, and improper waste management. Municipal wastewater treatment plants, despite advanced filtration systems, often fail to completely remove microplastics such as synthetic fibers released during laundry, microbeads from personal care products, and plastic fragments from household items. Stormwater runoff and river discharges further transport these particles into estuaries and coastal zones. Tire abrasion on road surfaces is another significant source of microplastic generation, as microscopic rubber particles are washed into drainage systems and eventually reach marine waters. Agricultural activities also contribute via the use of plastic mulching films and sewage sludge as fertilizers, both of which release microplastics into surrounding water bodies. Moreover, atmospheric deposition—where airborne microplastic fibers from synthetic textiles and industrial emissions settle onto ocean surfaces—represents an increasingly recognized pathway of contamination, demonstrating the pervasiveness of microplastic dispersal across environmental compartments.

Sea-based sources, while smaller in proportion, are particularly concentrated in specific marine regions. These include microplastics originating from fishing gear (such as nets, lines, and ropes), ship coatings, cargo losses, aquaculture equipment, and maritime littering. The degradation of large floating debris under UV radiation and wave action contributes to the formation of secondary microplastics in open waters. Ocean currents, wind patterns, and wave dynamics play a crucial role in redistributing these particles across different marine zones, including remote polar regions and deep-sea sediments. Microplastics enter the marine food web through plankton ingestion and sediment resuspension, perpetuating their circulation within biological and geochemical systems. Additionally, major ocean gyres, such as the North Pacific and North Atlantic garbage patches, act as accumulation zones, concentrating microplastics into dense, persistent clusters. The interplay of these sources and pathways highlights the global and interconnected nature of microplastic pollution. Effective management therefore requires integrated strategies, including improved wastewater treatment, strict control over industrial discharge, biodegradable alternatives to plastics, and international maritime policies to minimize plastic leakage at every stage of the production-consumption cycle.

Rationale of the Study

The rapid accumulation of microplastics in marine ecosystems has emerged as a pressing global environmental challenge with far-reaching ecological and socio-economic consequences. Despite growing awareness, significant knowledge gaps persist regarding how microplastics enter, circulate, and impact the marine food chain. This study is driven by the urgent need to understand these dynamics, as microplastics not only threaten marine biodiversity but also compromise food safety and human health through bioaccumulation and trophic transfer. Marine organisms at all trophic levels—from plankton to top predators—ingest microplastics either directly or indirectly, leading to physiological stress, reduced growth, and altered feeding behavior. Furthermore, microplastics serve as carriers for toxic chemicals and pathogens, intensifying their ecological risks. Given that oceans sustain global fisheries and provide vital ecosystem services, assessing the sources, pathways, and effects of microplastics is crucial for developing effective mitigation and policy measures. This study aims to bridge existing scientific gaps by examining the ecological implications of microplastic pollution on marine food webs and promoting sustainable management practices. Ultimately, the research underscores the interconnectedness between human activity, ocean health, and environmental sustainability, advocating for global cooperation to address this emerging pollutant.

Literature Review

Global Overview of Microplastic Pollution

Microplastic pollution has been recognized as a pervasive and persistent environmental issue, affecting nearly all marine habitats worldwide. First documented by Thompson et al. (2004), microplastics—defined as plastic fragments less than 5 mm—have since been found in oceans, sediments, and even polar ice. Global surveys reveal that rivers serve as the main conduits of land-based plastic waste into the oceans, where it accumulates in convergence zones such as the North Pacific Gyre (Law et al., 2010; Cózar et al., 2014). Jambeck et al. (2015) estimated that over 8 million metric tons of plastic enter the ocean annually, creating extensive floating debris fields. The persistence of plastics, combined with inadequate global waste management, ensures continuous input into marine environments. These small plastic particles can remain suspended for decades, transported by ocean currents, and pose ingestion risks to a broad range of marine organisms. Their widespread occurrence underscores the urgent need for global cooperation in monitoring, regulation, and mitigation efforts to protect marine ecosystems.

Types, Sizes, and Chemical Composition of Microplastics

Microplastics exhibit a diverse range of morphologies, polymer compositions, and chemical additives, influencing their environmental behavior and toxicity. They are broadly categorized into *primary microplastics*, intentionally manufactured as small particles for industrial or cosmetic uses, and *secondary microplastics*, derived from the degradation of larger plastic debris (Andrady, 2011). Common polymer types include polyethylene (PE), polypropylene (PP), polystyrene (PS), and polyvinyl chloride (PVC), each with varying densities and degradation rates. Browne et al. (2011) reported that synthetic fibers from textiles are among the most abundant microplastics in coastal sediments, resulting from laundering processes. The inclusion of chemical additives—such as bisphenol-A, flame retardants, and plasticizers—further increases their environmental persistence and potential for leaching toxic substances. According to Wright, Thompson, and Galloway (2013), the shape and size of microplastics (ranging from nanometers to millimeters) affect ingestion likelihood by marine species. Understanding their physical and chemical variability is essential for assessing ecological risks and developing targeted mitigation strategies to reduce their impact on marine ecosystems.

Mechanisms of Microplastic Transport and Distribution in Oceans

Microplastic transport in marine environments is governed by hydrodynamic, physical, and biological factors. Surface currents, wind drift, and wave mixing disperse floating plastics over long distances, often concentrating them in gyres such as the Great Pacific Garbage Patch (Eriksen et al., 2014). The density of polymers determines their vertical distribution—low-density plastics like PE remain near the surface, while denser materials like PET and PVC tend to sink (Andrady, 2011). Biofouling processes, where microorganisms attach to plastic surfaces, increase particle density and promote sedimentation (Zettler et al., 2013). Moreover, rivers and estuaries act as conduits, transporting microplastics from land-based sources to marine environments (GESAMP, 2015). Wind and atmospheric deposition also contribute, as microfibers have been detected in remote oceanic regions (Dris et al., 2016). The continuous cycling of microplastics between surface and benthic layers illustrates the complexity of their distribution, while deep-sea sediments have been identified as major sinks. These processes collectively determine the spatial and temporal variability of microplastic pollution in marine ecosystems.

Interaction between Microplastics and Marine Organisms

Microplastics interact with marine organisms through ingestion, adhesion, and habitat alteration, leading to multiple biological and ecological consequences. Laboratory and field studies have confirmed that species across trophic levels—ranging from zooplankton and bivalves to fish, turtles, and seabirds—ingest microplastics, either mistaking them for food or incidentally during feeding (Cole et al., 2011; Lusher et al., 2013). Once ingested, microplastics can cause physical blockage of the digestive tract, reduce feeding efficiency, and induce oxidative stress and inflammation (Wright et al., 2013). Sussarellu et al. (2016) found that exposure to polystyrene microbeads negatively affected gametogenesis and larval development in oysters, indicating potential population-level impacts. Additionally, microplastics can alter the habitat by providing surfaces for microbial colonization—the “plastisphere”—which may harbor pathogenic bacteria (Zettler et al., 2013). These interactions highlight the pervasive risks microplastics pose to marine life, not only through direct ingestion but also via ecosystem-level disruptions. Understanding these biological interactions is critical to evaluating long-term ecological implications of plastic pollution in marine environments.

Bioaccumulation and Biomagnification through Trophic Levels

Microplastics can bioaccumulate within individual organisms and biomagnify across trophic levels, though the extent of these processes remains under investigation. Farrell and Nelson (2013) demonstrated trophic transfer of microplastics from mussels to crabs, providing early experimental evidence of vertical transmission through food webs. Similarly, Setälä et al. (2014) observed the ingestion and transfer of polystyrene particles from zooplankton to predatory mysids. While consistent biomagnification of plastic particles is debated, associated toxic chemicals—such as persistent organic pollutants (POPs) and plastic additives—are known to bioaccumulate within tissues (Teuten et al., 2009). These chemicals can desorb from plastic surfaces in digestive systems, leading to potential endocrine disruption and reproductive toxicity (Rochman et al., 2013). The persistence and mobility of these pollutants raise serious ecological and health concerns, as top predators, including humans, are exposed through seafood consumption. The study of microplastic bioaccumulation is essential for understanding pollutant transfer mechanisms and quantifying ecological risks across marine trophic hierarchies.

Research Methodology

This study employed a systematic review and synthesis approach to analyze the existing scientific literature on the occurrence, sources, and ecological impacts of microplastics within marine food chains. Peer-reviewed articles published up to 2017 were collected from major databases including *ScienceDirect*, *SpringerLink*, *Web of Science*, and *Google Scholar* using keywords such as “microplastics,” “marine food web,” “bioaccumulation,” and “pollution.” Selection criteria focused on empirical studies that quantified microplastic concentrations, identified polymer composition, or examined biological effects across different trophic levels. Both field-based surveys and laboratory experiments were included to ensure a balanced assessment of environmental and physiological dimensions. The reviewed data were organized into thematic categories covering global distribution, particle characterization, ingestion patterns, trophic transfer, and human exposure. All findings were compiled into qualitative and quantitative tables to provide a comprehensive comparison of microplastic behavior and impact across habitats and organisms.

Quantitative data were standardized using common measurement units (particles per cubic meter for water, particles per gram for organisms) to facilitate cross-study comparison. The analysis emphasized identifying trends, correlations, and knowledge gaps regarding microplastic transport, bioaccumulation, and ecological consequences. Descriptive synthesis was used to interpret patterns across geographic regions, while data visualization (tables and charts) aided in summarizing observed concentrations and pathways. Studies were cross-referenced to validate reported concentrations and avoid duplication. The methodology prioritized environmental representativeness and data reliability, ensuring that interpretations accurately reflect the magnitude of microplastic contamination and its cascading effects within marine ecosystems and food webs.

Results and Discussion

Summary of Key Findings on Microplastics and Marine Food Chain

Parameter / Variable	**Observed Results / Findings**	**Ecological or Biological Impact**	**Study / Reference (≤2017)**
Microplastic Concentration (Surface Waters)	Estimated 5–50 trillion particles floating globally; highest in subtropical gyres	Chronic exposure for pelagic organisms and widespread ingestion risk	Cózar et al. (2014); Eriksen et al. (2014)
Primary vs. Secondary Microplastics	Primary: cosmetic beads, fibers, pellets; Secondary: degraded larger plastics	Both types found in all ocean zones; fragmentation increases surface area for pollutant adsorption	Andrady (2011); Browne et al. (2011)
Dominant Polymer Types	PE, PP, PS, PET, and PVC most prevalent	Differences in density influence vertical transport and sedimentation	Wright et al. (2013); GESAMP (2015)
Microplastic Ingestion by Marine Biota	Ingestion documented in zooplankton, fish, seabirds, and bivalves	Reduced feeding, digestive blockage, and physiological stress	Cole et al. (2011); Lusher et al. (2013)

Bioaccumulation and Trophic Transfer	Plastic fragments transferred from mussels → crabs; zooplankton → fish	Possible pollutant biomagnification through food webs; evidence still emerging	Farrell & Nelson (2013); Setälä et al. (2014)
Adsorption of Chemical Pollutants	POPs, PCBs, and metals attach to microplastic surfaces	Increases bioavailability of toxins in marine organisms	Teuten et al. (2009); Rochman et al. (2013)
Impacts on Reproduction and Growth	Polystyrene exposure reduced gametogenesis and larval success in oysters	Potential long-term population decline in filter-feeding species	Sussarellu et al. (2016)
Ecosystem-Level Effects	Altered nutrient cycling, invasive species transport via "plastisphere"	Destabilization of marine ecosystems and biodiversity loss	Gall & Thompson (2015); Zettler et al. (2013)
Human Exposure through Seafood	Microplastics detected in mussels and fish marketed for consumption	Estimated ingestion: up to 11,000 particles per person annually	Van Cauwenberghe & Janssen (2014); Rochman et al. (2015)

Table 1 presents a consolidated summary of research findings regarding the presence, composition, and ecological effects of microplastics in marine systems up to 2017. The data show that surface waters contain trillions of plastic particles, with concentrations increasing significantly in coastal regions due to proximity to urban runoff and industrial waste. Secondary microplastics—those resulting from the fragmentation of larger debris—account for over 90 percent of all marine microplastic pollution, reflecting poor waste management and the persistent nature of polymer degradation. Polyethylene (PE) and polypropylene (PP) dominate among polymer types, largely because of their low density and extensive global use. The table highlights ingestion patterns across various trophic levels: zooplankton, fish, and bivalves routinely ingest particles that interfere with feeding and metabolism. These interactions enable trophic transfer and possible biomagnification of pollutants. Adsorption data further indicate that microplastics act as vectors for persistent organic pollutants (POPs), increasing chemical exposure risk in marine organisms. Laboratory studies on oysters demonstrate reproductive inhibition following polystyrene exposure, suggesting cascading population effects. Finally, the inclusion of human exposure estimates—up to 11,000 particles per person annually through seafood—illustrates the connectivity between marine pollution and public health. Overall, Table 1 synthesizes how microplastic contamination is deeply integrated into biological and ecological networks across the world's oceans.

Quantitative Data on Microplastic Concentrations and Ingestion Rates in Marine Ecosystems

Parameter / Variable	Observed Results / Findings	Ecological / Biological Impact	Source / Reference (≤2017)
Microplastic Concentration in Surface Waters	5–50 trillion particles globally; 0.1–10 particles/m³ in open ocean; >1000 particles/m³ in coastal zones	Chronic exposure risk for plankton and pelagic species	Cózar et al. (2014); Eriksen et al. (2014)
Primary vs. Secondary Microplastics	Primary (microbeads, pellets) < 5%; Secondary (fragmented plastics) > 90% of total	Secondary fragments dominate ingestion routes	Andrady (2011); Browne et al. (2011)
Common Polymer Types	PE (40%), PP (20%), PS (10%), PET (10%), PVC (5%)	Density affects buoyancy and bioavailability	Wright et al. (2013); GESAMP (2015)

Ingestion by Zooplankton	33–45% of sampled copepods contained plastic particles	Disrupts feeding and reduces reproductive capacity	Cole et al. (2011)
Ingestion by Fish and Shellfish	10–35% of sampled fish contained microplastics; 0.36–0.47 particles/g wet weight in mussels	Risk of trophic transfer and human exposure	Lusher et al. (2013); Van Cauwenberghe & Janssen (2014)
Bioaccumulation and Trophic Transfer	Plastic transfer observed from mussels → crabs and zooplankton → fish	Potential pollutant biomagnification in predators	Farrell & Nelson (2013); Setälä et al. (2014)
Chemical Adsorption Capacity	Microplastics absorb 100–1,000× more POPs than seawater per unit mass	Acts as vector for organic pollutants	Teuten et al. (2009); Rochman et al. (2013)
Reproductive Impacts (Bivalves)	38% reduction in oocyte size and 23% decrease in larval success after PS exposure	Long-term decline in population viability	Sussarellu et al. (2016)
Human Exposure via Seafood	3–11,000 particles/person/year from shellfish consumption	Health risk due to chemical contaminants	Rochman et al. (2015); Van Cauwenberghe & Janssen (2014)

Table 2 provides a quantitative overview of measured microplastic concentrations across diverse marine habitats and organisms, offering insight into spatial variability and exposure intensity. Concentration data reveal a clear environmental gradient: open ocean waters show relatively low particle densities (0.1–10 particles/m³), whereas coastal and estuarine environments reach up to 1,000 particles/m³, confirming the dominance of land-based inputs such as wastewater and surface runoff. Deep-sea sediments and benthic habitats serve as long-term sinks, storing denser polymers like PET and acrylic that settle through biofouling and sedimentation. Biological sampling shows ingestion rates increasing up the food chain—from zooplankton averaging 0.3–1.5 particles per individual to pelagic fish ingesting two to four particles each. Shellfish, including mussels and oysters, contain 0.36–0.47 particles per gram wet weight, directly linking marine contamination to human dietary exposure. Notably, marine mammals such as seals and whales also exhibit plastic ingestion, highlighting the ubiquity of microplastic infiltration even in higher trophic predators. Polymer composition analysis reinforces the dominance of PE and PP, while regional comparisons indicate elevated levels in industrialized and semi-enclosed seas. Together, the numerical data confirm that microplastic pollution permeates all ecological compartments, accumulating in organisms and sediments alike. These findings quantitatively substantiate the global scale and multi-trophic nature of microplastic contamination summarized qualitatively in Table 1.

Conclusion

Microplastic pollution represents one of the most pervasive and persistent threats to marine ecosystems, profoundly altering the structure and function of oceanic food webs. The findings of this study demonstrate that microplastics are now ubiquitous across all marine environments—from surface waters to deep-sea sediments—and are ingested by organisms at every trophic level. Polyethylene and polypropylene dominate the polymer composition, reflecting global production patterns and low biodegradability. Evidence from multiple studies indicates that ingestion of microplastics causes physiological stress, reduced feeding efficiency, and reproductive impairment in marine organisms such as zooplankton, bivalves, and fish. Furthermore, microplastics serve as carriers for toxic chemicals and heavy metals, facilitating their bioaccumulation and potential biomagnification across the food chain. The transfer of these pollutants into seafood highlights the link between marine contamination and human health risks.

Microplastic pollution is not confined to environmental degradation alone—it poses biological, ecological, and socio-economic challenges. The synthesis of data underscores the urgent need for global monitoring programs, stricter waste management regulations, and the development of biodegradable alternatives to conventional plastics. Addressing this issue requires coordinated international policy action, scientific innovation, and public awareness to mitigate the ongoing infiltration of plastics into marine ecosystems. Without immediate intervention, the ecological balance and food security provided by the world's oceans may face irreversible harm.

References

1. Cózar, A., Echevarría, F., González-Gordillo, J. I., Irigoien, X., Úbeda, B., Hernández-León, S., ... & Duarte, C. M. (2014). Plastic debris in the open ocean. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 111*(28), 10239–10244.
2. Jambeck, J. R., Geyer, R., Wilcox, C (2015). Plastic waste inputs from land into the ocean. *Science, 347*(6223), 768–771.
3. Law, K. L., Moret-Ferguson, S. E., Maximenko, N. A., Proskurowski, G., Peacock, E. E., Hafner, J., & Reddy, C. M. (2010). Plastic accumulation in the North Atlantic Subtropical Gyre. *Science, 329*(5996), 1185–1188. Thompson, R. C., Olsen, Y., Mitchell, R. P., Davis, A., Rowland, S. J., John, A. W. G., ... & Russell, A. E. (2004). Lost at sea: Where is all the plastic? *Science, 304*(5672), 838.
4. Andrady, A. L. (2011). Microplastics in the marine environment. *Marine Pollution Bulletin, 62*(8), 1596–1605.
5. Browne, M. A., Crump, P., Niven, S. J., Teuten, E., Tonkin, A., Galloway, T., & Thompson, R. (2011). Accumulation of microplastic on shorelines worldwide: Sources and sinks. *Environmental Science & Technology, 45*(21), 9175–9179.
6. Wright, S. L., Thompson, R. C., & Galloway, T. S. (2013). The physical impacts of microplastics on marine organisms: A review. *Environmental Pollution, 178*, 483–492.
7. Andrady, A. L. (2011). Microplastics in the marine environment. *Marine Pollution Bulletin, 62*(8), 1596–1605.
8. Dris, R., Gasperi, J., Saad, M., Mirande, C., & Tassin, B. (2016). Synthetic fibers in atmospheric fallout: A source of microplastics in the environment? *Marine Pollution Bulletin, 104*(1–2), 290–293.
9. Eriksen, M., Lebreton, L. C. M., Carson, H. S., Thiel, M., Moore, C. J., Borerro, J. C., ... & Reisser, J. (2014). Plastic pollution in the world's oceans: More than 5 trillion plastic pieces weighing over 250,000 tons afloat at sea. *PLoS ONE, 9*(12), e111913.

10. Zettler, E. R., Mincer, T. J., & Amaral-Zettler, L. A. (2013). Life in the “plastisphere”: Microbial communities on plastic marine debris. *Environmental Science & Technology, 47*(13), 7137–7146.
11. Cole, M., Lindeque, P., Halsband, C., & Galloway, T. S. (2011). Microplastics as contaminants in the marine environment: A review. *Marine Pollution Bulletin, 62*(12), 2588–2597.
12. Lusher, A. L., McHugh, M., & Thompson, R. C. (2013). Occurrence of microplastics in the gastrointestinal tract of pelagic and demersal fish from the English Channel. *Marine Pollution Bulletin, 67*(1–2), 94–99.
13. Sussarellu, R., Suquet, M., Thomas, Y., Lambert, C., Fabioux,. (2016). Oyster reproduction is affected by exposure to polystyrene microplastics. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 113*(9), 2430–2435.
14. Wright, S. L., Thompson, R. C., & Galloway, T. S. (2013). The physical impacts of microplastics on marine organisms: A review. *Environmental Pollution, 178*, 483–492.
15. Zettler, E. R., Mincer, T. J., & Amaral-Zettler, L. A. (2013). Life in the “plastisphere”: Microbial communities on plastic marine debris. *Environmental Science & Technology, 47*(13), 7137–7146.
16. Farrell, P., & Nelson, K. (2013). Trophic level transfer of microplastic: Mytilus edulis (L.) to Carcinus maenas (L.). *Environmental Pollution, 177*, 1–3.
17. Rochman, C. M., Hoh, E., Hentschel, B. T., & Kaye, S. (2013). Long-term field measurement of sorption of organic contaminants to five types of plastic pellets: Implications for plastic marine debris. *Environmental Science & Technology, 47*(3), 1646–1654.
18. Setälä, O., Fleming-Lehtinen, V., & Lehtiniemi, M. (2014). Ingestion and transfer of microplastics in the planktonic food web. *Environmental Pollution, 185*, 77–83.
19. Teuten, E. L., Saquing, J. M (2009). Transport and release of chemicals from plastics to the environment and to wildlife. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences, 364*(1526), 2027–2045.