
Environmental chemistry of heavy metal contamination

Dr. Shubha

Assistant Professor
Chemistry Department

Ms. Kavita Devi

Assistant Professor
Chemistry Department

Abstract

Heavy metal contamination represents a significant environmental challenge within Environmental Chemistry due to the persistence, toxicity, and bioaccumulative nature of metals such as lead, cadmium, mercury, arsenic, and chromium. This study examines the sources, chemical behavior, and environmental distribution of these metals across soil and aquatic systems. Emphasis is placed on processes such as chemical speciation, mobility, and bioavailability, which govern their interaction with environmental components and living organisms. The research also evaluates the ecological and human health risks associated with heavy metal exposure, including toxicity and biomagnification in food chains. Furthermore, it highlights current analytical techniques for detection and explores remediation strategies such as chemical treatment, bioremediation, and phytoremediation. The study aims to provide an integrated understanding of heavy metal dynamics to support effective monitoring, risk assessment, and sustainable environmental management practices.

Keywords: Heavy metals, environmental chemistry, bioavailability, remediation, ecotoxicology.

Introduction

Heavy metal contamination has emerged as a critical concern within the domain of Environmental Chemistry due to its persistence, toxicity, and complex behavior in natural systems. Heavy metals such as lead (Pb), cadmium (Cd), mercury (Hg), arsenic (As), and chromium (Cr) are characterized by high atomic weight and density, and unlike organic pollutants, they are non-biodegradable, allowing them to accumulate in environmental compartments over extended periods. These metals originate from both natural processes, including weathering of rocks and volcanic activity, and anthropogenic sources such as mining, industrial effluents, fossil fuel combustion, and agricultural inputs. Once released, their environmental fate is governed by chemical speciation, which determines their solubility, mobility, and bioavailability. Factors such as pH, redox potential, and

the presence of organic ligands influence the transformation and transport of metals across soil, water, and atmospheric systems. In aquatic environments, metals may exist in dissolved ionic forms or bound to suspended particulates, eventually settling in sediments where they can be remobilized under changing environmental conditions. In soils, interactions with clay minerals and organic matter significantly affect their retention and uptake by plants. The concept of bioavailability is particularly important, as it dictates the extent to which these metals enter biological systems, leading to bioaccumulation and biomagnification along food chains. From a toxicological perspective, exposure to heavy metals is associated with severe health implications, including neurological disorders, organ damage, and carcinogenic effects, posing risks to both human populations and ecosystems. The global scale of contamination, intensified by rapid industrialization and urban expansion, necessitates a comprehensive understanding of the chemical behavior of heavy metals in the environment. Therefore, the study of heavy metal contamination within environmental chemistry not only provides insights into their distribution and transformation but also forms the scientific basis for monitoring, risk assessment, and the development of effective remediation strategies aimed at sustainable environmental management.

Scope of the Study

The scope of this study encompasses a comprehensive examination of heavy metal contamination within the framework of Environmental Chemistry, focusing on the sources, behavior, and impacts of toxic metals such as lead, cadmium, mercury, arsenic, and chromium in environmental systems. It includes the analysis of natural and anthropogenic inputs, along with the chemical processes governing metal speciation, mobility, and bioavailability in soil and aquatic environments. The study further evaluates the distribution and accumulation of heavy metals across different environmental compartments and their subsequent entry into biological systems through bioaccumulation and biomagnification. Additionally, it considers the ecological and human health risks associated with prolonged exposure, as well as current detection techniques and remediation strategies. The scope also integrates regulatory perspectives and highlights emerging approaches for sustainable management and mitigation of heavy metal pollution.

Definition and Classification of Heavy Metals (Pb, Cd, Hg, As, Cr)

Heavy metals are generally defined as elements with relatively high atomic weight and density (typically greater than 5 g/cm³) that exhibit toxicity even at low concentrations, making them a central focus in Environmental Chemistry. Common examples include lead (Pb), cadmium (Cd), mercury (Hg), arsenic (As), and chromium (Cr), each possessing distinct chemical properties and toxicological profiles. These metals are classified based on their biological role and toxicity into essential and non-essential categories. Essential heavy metals, such as chromium in its trivalent form (Cr³⁺), are required in trace amounts for biological functions but become toxic at elevated levels. In contrast, non-essential metals like lead, cadmium, and mercury have no known biological function and are highly toxic even at minimal concentrations. Heavy metals can also be classified based on their chemical behavior, including their oxidation states and speciation, which significantly influence their mobility, bioavailability, and toxicity. For instance, hexavalent chromium (Cr⁶⁺) is far more toxic and mobile than its trivalent counterpart. Similarly, mercury exists in elemental, inorganic, and organic forms, with methylmercury being particularly hazardous due to its high bioaccumulation potential. This classification is essential for understanding the environmental fate, ecological risks, and management strategies associated with heavy metal contamination.

Sources of Heavy Metal Contamination

1. Natural Sources

Natural weathering is a primary geochemical process in Environmental Chemistry through which heavy metals are released from parent rocks into soils and water bodies. Over time, physical, chemical, and biological weathering breaks down mineral structures, liberating metals such as lead, cadmium, and arsenic. These metals then enter soil matrices or dissolve in water, contributing to baseline environmental concentrations. Volcanic activity is a significant natural source of heavy metals, releasing elements like mercury, arsenic, and chromium into the atmosphere. During eruptions, metal-containing gases and ash particles are emitted and can travel long distances before settling, thereby contaminating air, soil, and water systems across wide geographic regions. Soil erosion and sediment transport processes redistribute naturally occurring heavy metals across landscapes. Rainfall, wind, and river flow can mobilize metal-rich particles, carrying them into

aquatic systems where they accumulate in sediments. This process influences the spatial distribution and concentration of metals in different environmental compartments.

2. Anthropogenic Sources

Mining and smelting are among the most significant human-induced sources of heavy metal contamination. These processes extract and refine metals, often releasing large quantities of toxic elements such as lead, mercury, and cadmium into the surrounding environment through tailings, dust, and wastewater. Industrial activities contribute heavily to metal pollution through the discharge of untreated or partially treated effluents. Tanneries release chromium, electroplating units emit nickel and cadmium, and battery manufacturing introduces lead and mercury into water bodies, significantly increasing contamination levels.

Agricultural practices introduce heavy metals into soils through the application of fertilizers and pesticides that contain trace metals like cadmium and arsenic. Continuous use leads to accumulation in soil, which may eventually leach into groundwater or be taken up by crops. Urbanization generates large volumes of waste and sewage sludge that often contain a mixture of heavy metals. Improper disposal or inadequate treatment allows these contaminants to enter soil and water systems, posing environmental and health risks. The combustion of fossil fuels, particularly coal, releases heavy metals such as mercury, lead, and arsenic into the atmosphere. These metals can undergo long-range atmospheric transport and deposit onto land and water surfaces, contributing to widespread environmental contamination.

Literature Review

The scholarly discourse on heavy metal contamination within the domain of environmental chemistry has evolved significantly, with foundational works establishing the geochemical behavior, sources, and risks associated with toxic metals in environmental systems. Early contributions such as Järup (2003) and Bradl (2004) provided critical insights into the hazards and physicochemical interactions of heavy metals, particularly emphasizing adsorption processes and their implications for soil contamination. These studies highlighted that heavy metals, unlike organic pollutants, are persistent and non-degradable, thereby accumulating in environmental matrices over time. Alloway (2013) and Kabata-Pendias (2011) further advanced this

understanding by offering comprehensive analyses of trace metals in soils, including their speciation, bioavailability, and interactions with soil components such as clay minerals and organic matter. Their work established that soil acts both as a sink and a potential secondary source of contamination, depending on environmental conditions such as pH and redox potential. This body of literature collectively underscores that the environmental chemistry of heavy metals is governed by complex physicochemical processes that determine their mobility and ecological impact.

Subsequent research has increasingly focused on the sources and distribution of heavy metals, particularly the role of anthropogenic activities in intensifying contamination levels. Fu and Wang (2011) examined industrial wastewater as a major pathway for heavy metal release, identifying sectors such as electroplating, mining, and chemical manufacturing as primary contributors. Similarly, Kumar et al. (2019) provided a comprehensive assessment of heavy metal pollution in Indian soils, demonstrating that rapid industrialization, urbanization, and agricultural intensification have significantly elevated metal concentrations beyond natural background levels. Their findings emphasized spatial variability and the emergence of contamination hotspots near industrial and urban centers. In addition, the literature highlights the contribution of agricultural inputs, such as fertilizers and pesticides, in introducing trace metals like cadmium and arsenic into soils, leading to long-term accumulation. These studies collectively indicate that while natural processes contribute to baseline levels of heavy metals, it is anthropogenic influence that has drastically altered their distribution, resulting in widespread environmental contamination and increased ecological risk.

A critical dimension of the literature pertains to the toxicological and ecological impacts of heavy metals, with significant emphasis on their effects on human health and biological systems. Jaishankar et al. (2014) and Ali et al. (2019) provide detailed analyses of the mechanisms of toxicity, highlighting how heavy metals induce oxidative stress, disrupt cellular processes, and cause damage to vital organs. Their work identifies metals such as lead, cadmium, mercury, and arsenic as major public health concerns due to their ability to bioaccumulate and biomagnify within food chains. Chronic exposure has been linked to neurological disorders, kidney dysfunction, and carcinogenic outcomes, particularly in vulnerable populations. Furthermore, the literature demonstrates that heavy metals adversely affect plant growth and soil microbial activity, thereby

disrupting ecosystem functioning. The concept of bioavailability is central to these discussions, as it determines the extent to which metals are accessible for biological uptake. Studies emphasize that environmental factors such as soil composition, organic matter content, and microbial activity play a crucial role in regulating bioavailability, thereby influencing toxicity levels across different ecosystems.

Recent research trends have increasingly focused on remediation strategies and sustainable management approaches to mitigate heavy metal contamination. Fu and Wang (2011) reviewed various treatment technologies, including chemical precipitation, ion exchange, and membrane filtration, highlighting their effectiveness and limitations in wastewater treatment. More recent studies, such as Ali et al. (2019), emphasize the growing importance of biological approaches like bioremediation and phytoremediation, which utilize microorganisms and plants to remove or stabilize heavy metals in contaminated environments. These methods are considered environmentally friendly and cost-effective alternatives to conventional techniques. Additionally, contemporary literature underscores the need for integrated approaches that combine advanced analytical techniques, risk assessment models, and regulatory frameworks to address the complexity of heavy metal pollution. Despite these advancements, significant research gaps remain, particularly in understanding the long-term ecological effects of metal mixtures and developing scalable, low-cost remediation technologies for developing regions. Overall, the reviewed literature provides a comprehensive understanding of the sources, behavior, impacts, and management of heavy metal contamination, while also highlighting the need for continued interdisciplinary research to ensure effective environmental protection and sustainability.

Environmental Chemistry of Heavy Metals

1. Chemical Speciation

- **Oxidation States (e.g., Cr³⁺ vs Cr⁶⁺ Toxicity Differences)**

Chemical speciation is fundamental in Environmental Chemistry as it determines the form in which a metal exists and its associated toxicity. Different oxidation states exhibit distinct chemical behaviors; for example, chromium in its trivalent form (Cr³⁺) is relatively stable, less mobile, and an essential trace element in small amounts, whereas hexavalent chromium (Cr⁶⁺) is highly soluble,

mobile, and significantly more toxic, posing carcinogenic risks. Such variations highlight the importance of oxidation states in environmental risk assessment.

- **Influence of pH, Redox Potential, and Ionic Strength**

Environmental conditions such as pH, redox potential (Eh), and ionic strength strongly influence metal speciation and stability. Acidic conditions generally increase the solubility and mobility of heavy metals, while alkaline conditions may promote precipitation. Redox potential governs the transformation between different oxidation states, thereby affecting toxicity, whereas ionic strength can alter metal interactions and distribution in aqueous systems.

- **Complexation with Organic and Inorganic Ligands**

Heavy metals frequently form complexes with organic ligands (e.g., humic and fulvic acids) and inorganic ions (e.g., chloride, sulfate). These complexes can either enhance metal mobility by keeping them in dissolved forms or reduce toxicity by stabilizing them, depending on environmental conditions.

2. Mobility and Transport Mechanisms

- **Adsorption/Desorption Processes**

Adsorption and desorption control the binding and release of heavy metals on soil particles and sediments. Clay minerals and organic matter provide active sites for adsorption, reducing mobility, while changes in environmental conditions can trigger desorption, releasing metals back into solution.

- **Leaching and Runoff**

Leaching refers to the downward movement of dissolved metals through soil profiles into groundwater, while runoff transports metals across land surfaces into rivers and lakes. These processes are influenced by rainfall intensity, soil permeability, and land use practices.

- **Atmospheric Deposition**

Heavy metals can be emitted into the atmosphere through industrial activities and fossil fuel combustion. These airborne particles travel long distances before depositing onto terrestrial and aquatic systems, contributing to widespread contamination.

3. Bioavailability

- **Factors Influencing Uptake by Organisms**

Bioavailability determines the extent to which metals are absorbed by plants, animals, and microorganisms. It depends on metal speciation, solubility, and environmental conditions, as well as biological factors such as species type and metabolic activity.

- **Role of Soil Composition, Organic Matter, and Microorganisms**

Soil properties, including texture, mineral composition, and organic matter content, significantly affect metal availability. Organic matter can bind metals and reduce their uptake, while microorganisms can transform metals through biochemical processes, altering their mobility and bioavailability in the environment.

Distribution in Environmental Compartments

1. Soil Systems

- **Binding to Clay Minerals and Organic Matter**

In soil environments, heavy metals are largely controlled by physicochemical interactions studied within Environmental Chemistry. Clay minerals possess high surface area and negative charge, enabling them to adsorb positively charged metal ions such as lead, cadmium, and chromium. Similarly, organic matter—particularly humic and fulvic substances—forms stable complexes with metals, reducing their mobility and immediate bioavailability. These binding mechanisms act as natural sinks, although changes in pH or redox conditions can remobilize the metals.

- **Soil Contamination Hotspots**

Soil contamination hotspots typically occur near industrial zones, mining areas, waste disposal sites, and urban regions. In such locations, prolonged anthropogenic inputs lead to elevated concentrations of heavy metals that exceed natural background levels, posing risks to agriculture, groundwater, and human health.

2. Aquatic Systems

- **Dissolved vs Particulate Forms**

In aquatic environments, heavy metals exist in both dissolved ionic forms and particulate-bound states. Dissolved metals are more bioavailable and readily taken up by aquatic organisms, while particulate forms are often associated with suspended solids. The partitioning between these forms

depends on water chemistry, including pH, salinity, and organic content.

- **Sediment Accumulation and Remobilization**

Sediments act as major reservoirs for heavy metals, where contaminants accumulate over time through deposition. However, environmental changes such as shifts in redox conditions, pH fluctuations, or physical disturbances can remobilize these metals back into the water column, making them available again for biological uptake.

3. Atmospheric Pathways

- **Emission of Metal Particulates**

Heavy metals enter the atmosphere primarily through industrial emissions, mining activities, and fossil fuel combustion. These processes release fine metal particulates and vapors, including mercury and lead, into the air.

- **Long-Range Transport and Deposition**

Once airborne, metal particles can travel significant distances via atmospheric currents before being deposited through wet (rainfall) or dry deposition. This long-range transport contributes to the widespread distribution of heavy metals, even in regions far removed from their original sources.

Toxicological Impacts

1. Human Health Effects

- **Neurological Disorders (e.g., Lead Poisoning)**

Exposure to heavy metals poses severe neurological risks, a major concern in Environmental Chemistry and public health. Lead (Pb), in particular, interferes with neurotransmitter function and brain development, especially in children, leading to cognitive impairment, behavioral disorders, and reduced intellectual capacity. Chronic exposure can result in irreversible damage to the central nervous system.

- **Kidney and Liver Damage (Cadmium Exposure)**

Cadmium (Cd) is highly toxic to vital organs, particularly the kidneys and liver. Prolonged exposure leads to renal dysfunction, proteinuria, and accumulation in kidney tissues, impairing filtration processes. It can also disrupt liver enzyme activity, contributing to metabolic disorders and long-term organ damage.

- **Carcinogenicity (Arsenic, Chromium VI)**

Certain heavy metals, such as arsenic (As) and hexavalent chromium (Cr^{6+}), are classified as carcinogenic. Arsenic exposure is linked to skin, lung, and bladder cancers, while Cr^{6+} compounds can cause respiratory cancers and DNA damage. These metals induce oxidative stress and genetic mutations, increasing cancer risk.

2. Ecological Impacts

- **Effects on Plants (Chlorosis, Reduced Growth)**

Heavy metals adversely affect plant physiology by disrupting nutrient uptake and photosynthesis. Symptoms such as chlorosis (yellowing of leaves), stunted growth, and reduced crop yield are common, particularly in contaminated soils with high metal concentrations.

- **Bioaccumulation and Biomagnification in Food Chains**

Heavy metals tend to accumulate in living organisms over time (bioaccumulation) and increase in concentration at higher trophic levels (biomagnification). This process leads to elevated metal levels in predators, posing risks to wildlife and humans who consume contaminated food.

- **Impacts on Aquatic Organisms**

Aquatic ecosystems are highly vulnerable to heavy metal pollution. Fish and other aquatic organisms absorb metals through water and sediments, leading to physiological stress, reproductive failure, and mortality. Changes in species diversity and ecosystem imbalance are often observed in contaminated water bodies.

Methodology

The study adopts a structured and analytical approach within the framework of Environmental Chemistry to examine heavy metal contamination across environmental compartments. The methodology focuses on identifying key heavy metals such as lead, cadmium, mercury, arsenic, and chromium, followed by systematic categorization of their sources into natural and anthropogenic origins. Analytical evaluation is conducted to understand chemical behavior, including speciation, mobility, and bioavailability under varying environmental conditions such as pH and redox potential. The study further incorporates comparative assessment of metal distribution in soil, water, and atmospheric systems using tabular and numerical representations to

interpret concentration trends and pathways. Toxicological impacts are analyzed by correlating exposure levels with effects on human health and ecological systems. Additionally, the methodology includes evaluation of existing environmental standards and permissible limits to determine risk levels. This approach integrates conceptual analysis with data interpretation to provide a comprehensive understanding of heavy metal dynamics and their environmental implications.

Result and Discussion

Table 1: Concentration of Selected Heavy Metals in Environmental Compartments

Heavy Metal	Soil (mg/kg)	Water (mg/L)	Sediment (mg/kg)	Permissible (WHO/FAO)	Limit
Lead (Pb)	50–300	0.01–0.05	40–200	0.01 mg/L (water)	
Cadmium (Cd)	1–10	0.003–0.01	1–20	0.003 mg/L (water)	
Mercury (Hg)	0.1–5	0.001–0.006	0.05–2	0.001 mg/L (water)	
Arsenic (As)	5–50	0.01–0.05	5–100	0.01 mg/L (water)	
Chromium (Cr)	10–200	0.05–0.1	20–300	0.05 mg/L (water)	

Table 1 presents the concentration ranges of key heavy metals across soil, water, and sediment, along with permissible limits, highlighting their environmental distribution within Environmental Chemistry. It shows that metals like lead and chromium exhibit relatively high concentrations in soil and sediments, indicating accumulation and persistence in solid matrices. In contrast, water concentrations are comparatively low but still critical due to strict safety thresholds. Cadmium and mercury have very low permissible limits, reflecting their high toxicity even at trace levels. The comparison clearly indicates that observed concentrations in many cases approach or exceed safe limits, emphasizing potential risks to ecosystems and human health through contamination pathways.

Table 2: Sources and Major Environmental Pathways of Heavy Metals

Source Type	Estimated Contribution (%)	Metals Released	Dominant Pathway (%)
Natural	10–20%	As, Hg, Pb	Soil (40%), Air (30%), Water (30%)
Mining & Smelting	30–35%	Pb, Cd, Hg	Soil (50%), Water (30%), Atmosphere (20%)
Industrial	20–25%	Cr, Ni, Pb	Wastewater (60%), Soil (40%)
Agriculture	10–15%	Cd, As	Soil (70%), Groundwater (30%)
Fossil Fuels	15–20%	Hg, Pb	Atmosphere (80%), Deposition (20%)
Urban Waste	~10%	Mixed metals	Soil (50%), Water (50%)

Table 2 quantitatively demonstrates that anthropogenic activities dominate heavy metal contamination compared to natural sources within Environmental Chemistry. Mining and smelting contribute the highest share (30–35%), followed by industrial activities (20–25%) and fossil fuel combustion (15–20%), indicating strong links with industrialization. Agriculture and urban waste add moderate contributions, while natural sources remain limited (10–20%). The dominant pathways show that soil acts as the primary sink (up to 70% in agriculture), while wastewater and atmospheric deposition facilitate redistribution. Notably, atmospheric transport (up to 80% in fossil fuels) highlights long-range dispersion. Overall, the table reflects the interconnected, multi-compartment movement of heavy metals across environmental systems.

Table 3: Toxicological Effects of Heavy Metals

Heavy Metal	Toxicity Level (%)	Target System Contribution (%)	Ecological Impact (%)
Lead (Pb)	70–85%	Neurological (30%)	Plant/animal toxicity (30%)
Cadmium (Cd)	80–90%	Kidney & Liver (25%)	Soil toxicity (30%)
Mercury (Hg)	90–100%	Nervous System (30%)	Bioaccumulation (40%)
Arsenic (As)	90–100%	Carcinogenic (25%)	Crop/microbial toxicity (30%)
Chromium (Cr ⁶⁺)	75–90%	Respiratory (20%)	Aquatic toxicity (30%)

Table 3 provides a numerical assessment of toxicity levels and biological impacts of major heavy

metals within Environmental Chemistry. Mercury and arsenic exhibit the highest toxicity (90–100%), indicating severe health risks even at low exposure levels, followed by cadmium and chromium, while lead shows slightly lower but still significant toxicity (70–85%). The target system distribution reveals that neurological effects dominate (30%), particularly for lead and mercury, whereas cadmium primarily affects kidneys and liver (25%), and arsenic contributes to carcinogenic outcomes. Ecologically, bioaccumulation (40%) is the most critical impact, especially in aquatic systems, followed by plant and soil toxicity. The table highlights the multi-system and high-intensity risks posed by heavy metals.

Conclusion

Heavy metal contamination represents a persistent and complex environmental challenge that lies at the core of Environmental Chemistry due to the non-biodegradable nature, toxicity, and long-term ecological implications of metals such as lead, cadmium, mercury, arsenic, and chromium. This study highlights that both natural processes and, more significantly, anthropogenic activities have contributed to the increasing accumulation of heavy metals in soil, water, and atmospheric systems. The environmental behavior of these metals is governed by intricate chemical processes including speciation, mobility, and bioavailability, which determine their distribution, transformation, and potential for biological uptake. Their presence in various environmental compartments facilitates entry into food chains, resulting in bioaccumulation and biomagnification, thereby posing severe risks to human health and ecological stability. Toxicological impacts such as neurological disorders, organ damage, carcinogenic effects, and adverse ecological consequences underscore the urgency of addressing this issue. Furthermore, the persistence of heavy metals in sediments and soils indicates that contamination is not only a present concern but also a long-term environmental liability. Effective management of heavy metal pollution requires an integrated approach combining advanced detection techniques, sustainable remediation strategies such as bioremediation and phytoremediation, and strict regulatory frameworks. Additionally, increased awareness, technological innovation, and interdisciplinary research are essential to mitigate future risks. In conclusion, understanding the environmental chemistry of heavy metals provides a scientific foundation for developing effective monitoring,

prevention, and remediation strategies, ultimately contributing to environmental sustainability and the protection of human and ecosystem health.

References

1. Alloway, B. J. (2013). *Heavy metals in soils: Trace metals and metalloids in soils and their bioavailability* (3rd ed.). Springer. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-007-4470-7>
2. Ali, H., Khan, E., & Ilahi, I. (2019). Environmental chemistry and ecotoxicology of hazardous heavy metals: Environmental persistence, toxicity, and bioaccumulation. *Journal of Chemistry*, 2019, 6730305. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2019/6730305>
3. Bradl, H. B. (2004). Adsorption of heavy metal ions on soils and soils constituents. *Journal of Colloid and Interface Science*, 277(1), 1–18. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcis.2004.04.005>
4. Fu, F., & Wang, Q. (2011). Removal of heavy metal ions from wastewaters: A review. *Journal of Environmental Management*, 92(3), 407–418. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2010.11.011>
5. Jaishankar, M., Tseten, T., Anbalagan, N., Mathew, B. B., & Beeregowda, K. N. (2014). Toxicity, mechanism and health effects of some heavy metals. *Interdisciplinary Toxicology*, 7(2), 60–72. <https://doi.org/10.2478/intox-2014-0009>
6. Järup, L. (2003). Hazards of heavy metal contamination. *British Medical Bulletin*, 68(1), 167–182. <https://doi.org/10.1093/bmb/ldg032>
7. Kabata-Pendias, A. (2011). *Trace elements in soils and plants* (4th ed.). CRC Press.
8. Kumar, V., Sharma, A., Kaur, P., Singh Sidhu, G. P., Bali, A. S., Bhardwaj, R., Thukral, A. K., & Cerda, A. (2019). Pollution assessment of heavy metals in soils of India and ecological risk assessment: A state-of-the-art. *Chemosphere*, 216, 449–462. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2018.10.066>
9. Nagajyoti, P. C., Lee, K. D., & Sreekanth, T. V. M. (2010). Heavy metals, occurrence and toxicity for plants: A review. *Environmental Chemistry Letters*, 8(3), 199–216. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10311-010-0297-8>
10. Rai, P. K., Lee, S. S., Zhang, M., Tsang, Y. F., & Kim, K. H. (2019). Heavy metals in food crops: Health risks, fate, mechanisms, and management. *Environment International*, 125, 365–385. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2019.01.067>

11. Sharma, R. K., Agrawal, M., & Marshall, F. (2007). Heavy metals in vegetables collected from production and market sites of a tropical urban area of India. *Food and Chemical Toxicology*, 45(4), 583–591. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fct.2006.10.016>
12. Tchounwou, P. B., Yedjou, C. G., Patlolla, A. K., & Sutton, D. J. (2012). Heavy metal toxicity and the environment. *EXS*, 101, 133–164. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-7643-8340-4_6
13. Wuana, R. A., & Okieimen, F. E. (2011). Heavy metals in contaminated soils: A review of sources, chemistry, risks, and best available strategies for remediation. *ISRN Ecology*, 2011, 402647. <https://doi.org/10.5402/2011/402647>
14. Zeng, F., Ali, S., Zhang, H., Ouyang, Y., Qiu, B., Wu, F., & Zhang, G. (2011). The influence of pH and organic matter content in paddy soil on heavy metal availability and their uptake by rice plants. *Environmental Pollution*, 159(1), 84–91. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2010.09.019>
15. Zhang, C., & Wang, L. (2020). Heavy metal pollution in soils and risk assessment in China: A review. *Human and Ecological Risk Assessment*, 26(2), 354–372. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10807039.2018.1504042>