RISKS IN MOBILE BANKING

Prof. Sultan Singh,

Department of Business Administration, Chaudhary Devi Lal University, Sirsa (Haryana), India.

Ms. Sahila Chaudhary,

Assistant Professor, Institute of Business Management, JCD Vidyapeeth, Sirsa (Haryana), India.

Ms. Madhu Arora,

Research Scholar, Department of Commerce, Chaudhary Devi Lal University, Sirsa (Haryana), India.

ABSTRACT

The present study is conducted to know the customers perception towards risks mobile banking in selected public, private and foreign banks on the basis of judgement sampling. Population is based on the Banks which provide Mobile banking facility to customers. from Delhi and NCR. To find out the patterns of relationship that exist among data-groups, statistical tools used for this purpose are Standard Deviation, Regression Analysis, t-test, Z-test. The results show that age has significant impact on agreement on boost up of security risk solutions. There is a significant difference in the average agreement on boost up of security risk solutions, performance/service quality risk solutions, technological risk solutions and financial risk solutions in mobile banking of unmarried and married respondents. However, unmarried respondents consider security risk solutions, performance/service quality risk solutions and financial risk solutions most important than married respondents to boost up the mobile banking.

Key Words: Mobile, private, Public, Foreign Bank.

Introduction

Latest facility provided the banking Industry is Mobile Banking. Trade shopping has also become a common suddenly linked activity using mobile banking. Wireless instantly authorization payments can be made directly from the bank by the customer. Micro-payments

can replace cash but it has some threat (e.g. fraud). Mobile Wallet enables cardholder to purchasing from their mobile devices. Wireless Bill Payments are made directly from a cell phone. Voice and Mobile Portals gives access to information. Telemedicine: provides clinical care to people who don't have access to local doctors. Telemetry: transmission and receipt of data from remote sensor Internet Banking complemented by core banking solutions implemented by banks, mobile banking, card based electronic transactions at any bank ATM and merchants locations (both physical and through e-commerce sites) have offered a variety channels bank customers to conduct their payment transactions.(to Chakrabarty, 2012). Earlier banks had concern with only Customers financial needs. After that EFS (Electronic Funds Transfer) started where customers got the facility to transfer fund electronically but still they had to visit bank during only public dealing hours to deposit & withdraw money. After some time ATMs were introduced and customers were facilitated to deposit & withdraw cash any where any time without visiting the bank and without time boundaries. Presently banks have introduced Web banking and electronic banking and with the help of ATMs and online banking, customers have facility to do all banking transactions without visiting the bank.

REVIEW OF LITERATURE

Different piece of writing on varied facet of mobile banking appear in poles apart bulletin, periodicals etc. but they are off-putting in nature and do not give all-inclusive picture. **Kamini,Sandip and Nirmal (2011)** examined the awareness and perceptions of Customers about Mobile Banking to find out awareness and willingness to use mobile banking and to study the change pattern of customers interaction with banks. it was found that that if a bank can address the security concerns of customers, it may have a positive effect on the usage of mobile banking. As per new RBI guidelines **Ashish** and **Anand(2012)** examined how mobile banking has become an essential tool of financial inclusion. Mobile banking is most spoken factor in the area of developments in the banking sector as a whole and is expected by industry experts to replace the credit/debit card system in future. It was also suggested that reach of banks unbanked through treating Mobile banking as a platform as appropriate tool for India's financial inclusion plan. age has significant impact on agreement on boost up of security risk solutions. **Sultan and Madhu(2014)** in a empirical study found that there is a significant difference in the average agreement on boost up of security risk solutions, performance/service quality risk solutions, technological risk solutions and financial risk

ISSN: (2349-705X) **VOLUME -1, ISSUE -1 (JULY, 2014)**

solutions in mobile banking of unmarried and married respondents. However, unmarried respondents consider security risk solutions, performance/service quality risk solutions,

technological risk solutions and financial risk solutions most important than married

respondents to boost up mobile banking. There is no significant difference in the average

agreement on problems in mobile banking amongst the different education levels. It is

recommended that SMS (short message service) and push messages for smart phones,

customer authentication such as Personal Identification Numbers (PIN), review of privacy

protection policies, providing information to the customers on the importance of

safeguarding information in non-secure transactions are necessary to boost up the

mobile banking amongst the customers. Customers should also be advised to have

unbreakable passwords for the protection of their transactions.

SCOPE OF THE STUDY

The present study has been conducted to know the different risk of different Levels in Mobile

Banking.

OBJECTIVES OF THE STUDY

The main objective of the study is to examine the technological risk at different Education Levels about mobile banking in the selected banks. In this broader framework, the following

are the specific objectives of the study:

(i) To study the relationship of variables with the use of mobile banking of the in the

selected banks.

To examine the impact of mobile banking on customer satisfaction by analyzing the (ii)

problems faced by the customers in risks in the selected banks.

(iii) To suggest measures to boost up the services in mobile banking for the betterment of

the society.

RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

Sample Design

The universe for the purpose of this study comprises of all the banks in Delhi and NCR. For

the present study, judgmental sampling is used for selection of 187 customers using mobile

banking. Sample has been taken from those selected banks which are providing mobile

banking facility to the customers from Delhi and NCR.

Data Collection

The present study includes both primary and secondary data. Primary data have been collected from the customers with the help of pre-structured questionnaire and secondary data have been extracted from the Annual Reports of the selected banks, national and international agencies, various RBI Publications and IBA Publications, etc. The other sources include the research studies and articles published in various journals, magazines, newspapers and websites.

Data Analysis

To find out the patterns of relationship that exists among data-groups, statistical tools used are Standard Deviation, Regression analysis, t-test, Z-test and Chi-square test and ANOVA. Data have been analyzed with the help of Statistical Package of Social Science (SPSS).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

Firstly Table 1 depicts respondents on the basis of sample of 105 public bank customers 51 private bank customers and 31 foreign bank customers using mobile banking.

Table 1:Respondents in different Types of Banks

| Bank 7 | Туре | Frequency | Percent | | |
|--------|--------|-----------|---------|--|--|
| Pu | ıblic | 105 | 56.1 | | |
| Pr | rivate | 51 | 27.3 | | |
| Fo | oreign | 31 | 16.6 | | |
| To | otal | 187 | 100.0 | | |

Source: Survey

Hypothesis: There is no significant difference in the impact of mobile banking on customer satisfaction in the selected banks. For this one-way ANOVA is used to find whether the average satisfaction of customers are same across the different banks. As we have three groups of banks, namely, public, private and foreign so we apply one-way ANOVA and the results are as follows from where It has been depicted there is not a significant difference in the Average Agreement on customer satisfaction, F(2, 184)=0.52, p=0.60.

Table 2: Average agreement of customer satisfaction in selected banks using ANOVA

| | Sum of | | | | | |
|--|---------|----|-------------|---|------|--|
| | Squares | df | Mean Square | F | Sig. | |

A Monthly Double-Blind Peer Reviewed Refereed Open Access International e-Journal - Included in the International Serial Directories.

Website: www.aarf.asia. Email: editoraarf@gmail.com, editor@aarf.asia

INTERNATIONAL RESEARCH JOURNAL OF COMMERCE AND LAW (IRJCL) VOLUME -1, ISSUE -1 (JULY, 2014) ISSN: (2349-705X)

| Between Groups | .936 | 2 | .46 | .52 | .59 |
|----------------|--------|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| Within Groups | 165.68 | 184 | .90 | | |
| Total | 166.62 | 186 | | | |

Source: Survey

Hypothesis: There is no significant difference in the risks to the customers arising due to mobile banking in the selected banks. For this we will use one-way ANOVA to find whether the average risk felt by customers are same across the different banks. As we have three groups of banks, namely, public, private and foreign so we apply one-way ANOVA. From following results It has been depicted there is not a significant difference in the Average Agreement on Infrastructure Risk, F(2, 184)=0.39, p=0.68.

Table 3: Average agreement of Infrastructure Risk in selected banks using ANOVA

| | Sum of | | | | |
|----------------|---------|------|-------------|-----|------|
| | Squares | d.f. | Mean Square | F | Sig. |
| Between Groups | .64 | 2 | .32 | .38 | .67 |
| Within Groups | 153.97 | 184 | .83 | | |
| Total | 154.62 | 186 | | | |

Source: Survey

From following results It has been depicted there is not a significant difference in the Average Agreement on Political and Regulatory Risk, F(2, 184)=0.93, p=0.40.

Table 4: Average agreement of Political/Regulatory Risk in selected banks using ANOVA

| | Sum of | | | | |
|----------------|---------|-----|-------------|-----|------|
| | Squares | df | Mean Square | F | Sig. |
| Between Groups | 2.01 | 2 | 1.00 | .93 | .39 |
| Within Groups | 199.11 | 184 | 1.08 | | |
| Total | 201.12 | 186 | | | |

Source: Survey

From following results It has been depicted there is not a significant difference in the Average Agreement on Service Quality Risk, F(2, 184)=0.44, p=0.65

Table 5: Average agreement of Service Quality Risk in selected banks using ANOVA

| | Sum of | | Mean | | |
|---------------|---------|-----|--------|------|------|
| | Squares | df | Square | F | Sig. |
| Between | .842 | 2 | .421 | .437 | .647 |
| Groups | | | | | |
| Within Groups | 177.419 | 184 | .964 | | |
| Total | 178.261 | 186 | | | |

Source: Survey

From following results It has been depicted there is not a significant difference in the Average Agreement on Personalized Risk, F(2, 184)=0.28, p=0.76

Table 6: Average agreement of Personalized Risk in selected banks using ANOVA

| | Sum of | | | | |
|----------------|---------|-----|-------------|------|------|
| | Squares | df | Mean Square | F | Sig. |
| Between Groups | .518 | 2 | .259 | .276 | .759 |
| Within Groups | 172.521 | 184 | .938 | | |
| Total | 173.039 | 186 | | | |

Source: Survey

From following results It has been depicted there is not a significant difference in the Average Agreement on Security Risk, F(2, 184)=1.63, p=0.20.

Table 7: Average agreement of Security Risk in selected banks using ANOVA

| | Sum of | | | | |
|----------------|---------|-----|-------------|-------|------|
| | Squares | df | Mean Square | F | Sig. |
| Between Groups | 3.106 | 2 | 1.553 | 1.627 | .199 |
| Within Groups | 175.676 | 184 | .955 | | |
| Total | 178.782 | 186 | | | |

Source: Survey

From following results It has been depicted there is not a significant difference in the Average Agreement on Operational/Technological Risk, F(2, 184)=2.62, p=0.08.

Table 8: Average agreement of Operational/Technological Risk in selected banks using ANOVA

| | Sum of | | | | |
|----------------|---------|-----|-------------|------|------|
| | Squares | df | Mean Square | F | Sig. |
| Between Groups | 5.47 | 2 | 2.73 | 2.61 | .07 |
| Within Groups | 192.31 | 184 | 1.04 | | |
| Total | 197.78 | 186 | | | |

Source: Survey

As there are too many predictors so we will use stepwise linear regression analysis. From following table it becomes clear that in the third step of the stepwise regression we get the final set of significant predictors which explained (R²=) 41.8% of the variability in customer satisfaction. Durbin Watson statistics is close to 2 (DW=1.706) indicating that there is no problem of autocorrelation in our regression model.

Table 9: Model Summary

| | | | R of | | | Cha | Change Statistics | | | | Durbin | | |
|-------|------------------|----------|----------|--------|------------|--------------|-------------------|----------|------|-----|--------|--------|-----------------|
| Model | R | R Square | Adjusted | Square | Std. Error | the Estimate | | R Square | F | df1 | df2 | Sig. F | - Watso n |
| 1 | .59 ^a | .35 | .34 | | .76 | | .35 | | 100. | 1 | 185 | .000 | |
| 2 | .63 ^b | .39 | .39 | | .73 | | .04 | | 13.5 | 1 | 184 | .000 | |

Website: www.aarf.asia. Email: editoraarf@gmail.com, editor@aarf.asia

INTERNATIONAL RESEARCH JOURNAL OF COMMERCE AND LAW (IRJCL) VOLUME -1, ISSUE -1 (JULY, 2014) ISSN: (2349-705X)

| 3 | .64 ^c | .41 | .40 | .72 | .02 | 6.82 | 1 | 183 | .010 | 1.70 |
|---|------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|---|-----|------|------|
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |

- a. Predictors: (Constant), Average agreement on Boost up of Financial Risk Solutions
- b. Predictors: (Constant), Average agreement on Boost up of Financial Risk Solutions, Average Operational/Technological Risk
- c. Predictors: (Constant), Average agreement on Boost up of Financial Risk Solutions, Average Operational/Technological Risk, Average agreement on Boost up of Security Risk Solutions
- d. Dependent Variable: Average Agreement on customer satisfaction.

Source: Survey

CONCLUSION AND POLICY IMPLICATIONS

To sum up,It has been depicted there is not a significant difference in the Average Agreement on customer satisfaction, there is not a significant difference in the Average Agreement on Infrastructure Risk, there is not a significant difference in the Average Agreement on Political and Regulatory Risk, there is not a significant difference in the Average Agreement on Service Quality Risk, there is not a significant difference in the Average Agreement on Personalized Risk, depicted there is not a significant difference in the Average Agreement on Security Risk, there is not a significant difference in the Average Agreement on Operational/Technological Risk, Durbin Watson statistics indicate that there is no problem of autocorrelation in regression model.

INTERNATIONAL RESEARCH JOURNAL OF COMMERCE AND LAW (IRJCL) VOLUME -1, ISSUE -1 (JULY, 2014) ISSN: (2349-705X)

REFERNCES:

- Gupta Ashish and Sharma Anand (June 2012), Mobile Banking: A tool of Financial Inclusion, Educator-The FIMT Journal, ISSN 2277-9736, pp. 21-30.
- Kamini Shah, Sandip Bhatt and Nirmal Jain(March, 2011), Awareness and perceptions of Customers about Mobile Banking, The Indian Journal of Commerce Association, ISSN 0019-512X, Volume 64, number 1, Jan-Mar 2011, pp 13-26.
- Singh Sultan and Arora Madhu(2014), Demographic Perception towards Mobile Banking in India, International Journal of Management, IT and Engineering, IJMIE, A Monthly Double-Blind Peer Reviewed Refereed Open Access International e-Journal Included in the International Serial Directories, Indexed & Listed at: Ulrich's Periodicals Directory ©, U.S.A., Open J-Gage as well as in Cabell's Directories of Publishing Opportunities, U.S.A., Volume 4, Issue 7,ISSN: 2249-0558.